

# “Dual Diagnosis and Suicide Risk in a Spanish Outpatient Sample”

Nestor Szerman<sup>1\*</sup>, Jorge Lopez-Castroman<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Francisco Arias<sup>4</sup>, Consuelo Morant<sup>5</sup>, Francisco Babín<sup>6</sup>, Beatriz Mesías<sup>6</sup>, Ignacio Basurte<sup>1</sup>, Pablo Vega<sup>6</sup> and Enrique Baca-García<sup>2,3,7</sup>

**informa**  
healthcare

---

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Dual Diagnosis and Suicide Risk in a Spanish Outpatient Sample

Nestor Szerman<sup>1\*</sup>, Jorge Lopez-Castroman<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Francisco Arias<sup>4</sup>, Consuelo Morant<sup>5</sup>, Francisco Babín<sup>6</sup>, Beatriz Mesías<sup>6</sup>, Ignacio Basurte<sup>1</sup>, Pablo Vega<sup>6</sup> and Enrique Baca-García<sup>2,3,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Gregorio Marañón Hospital, Madrid, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychiatry, IIS-Hospital Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain; <sup>3</sup>Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental, Madrid, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Hospital Fundación Alcorcon, Madrid, Spain; <sup>5</sup>Oficina Regional Salud Mental, Madrid, Spain; <sup>6</sup>Instituto Adicciones, Madrid, Spain; <sup>7</sup>Molecular Imaging and Neuropathology Division, New York State Psychiatric Institute/Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA

The present study compares dual-diagnosis patients with other groups of psychiatric patients to determine the differential characteristics in suicide risk and other clinical variables between them. During 2008 in Madrid, 837 outpatients were evaluated in addiction and mental health services. Three comparison groups were created according to current diagnosis: (i) dual patients, (ii) patients with substance use disorders but no other mental disorders, and (iii) patients with mental disorders but no substance use disorders. A multinomial logistic regression model was built to explore the risk associated with dual diagnosis. Criteria for dual diagnosis were met at the time of the study by 440 patients (52.6%). Dual patients showed several demographic and clinical differences and a higher risk for suicide than the other two comparison groups. Further research is needed to define suicide preventive strategies for dual patients.

**Keywords** substance abuse, comorbidity, mental disorders, suicide, dual diagnosis

### INTRODUCTION

The comorbidity of substance use disorders (SUDs) with other psychiatric disorders (PDs), known as dual diagnosis (DD), is frequent. Population surveys have reported rates around 50% for lifetime comorbidity (Kessler et al., 1996; Regier, Farmer, & Rae, 1990), and higher numbers have been found in treatment samples (Kessler, 2004; Weaver et al., 2003).

According to epidemiological research, PDs contribute to the development of SUD in DD patients just as SUDs contribute to the development of PD (Compton, Thomas, Conway, & Colliver, 2005; Kessler, 2004). However, most studies report PDs to precede SUDs (Frischer, Crome, Macleod, Millson, & Croft, 2005; Merikangas et al., 1998), though findings vary depending on the specific disorders involved (Compton, Thomas, Stinson, & Grant, 2007; Glantz et al., 2009). In real practice, overlapping symptoms are often difficult to disentangle until the case has substantially progressed. A further problem is the duplicity of services of care for addiction and mental health disorders in many countries (Baldacchino, 2007; Weaver et al., 2003). Both factors result in a shortage of specialized treatment for DD patients. Yet, failure to detect and treat this syndrome can jeopardize a patient's chance of success (Kessler et al., 1994). DD has been associated with poor health, neuropsychological impairment, HIV infection, hepatitis, social dysfunction, violence, poverty, and poor treatment outcome (Grant, 1995; Weaver et al., 2003). Moreover, comorbid substance misuse was overrepresented in a sample of patients with mental disorders who committed suicide (Appleby et al., 1999), and the association of depression and alcoholism is a well-known risk factor for suicide (Cornelius et al., 1995).

We present here a multicenter study based on a treatment sample ( $n = 837$ ) in Madrid. We aim to explore the prevalence and clinical characteristics, particularly the suicide risk, of patients with DD when compared with other groups of psychiatric patients.

This study was conducted by the Sociedad Española de Patología Dual and supported by Instituto Adicciones, Agencia Antidroga, Oficina Regional de Salud Mental de Madrid and Obra Social de Caja Madrid.

\*Nestor Szerman and Jorge Lopez-Castroman have contributed equally to this work.

Address correspondence to Dr Jorge Lopez-Castroman, Department of Psychiatry, IIS-Hospital Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain; Email: jlopez@fjd.es.

## METHODS

### Recruitment

The target population was consecutively recruited and evaluated by their own therapists in outpatient addiction and mental health centers in Madrid during the year 2008. The interviewers (81 psychiatrists, psychologists, or general physicians) worked in specific centers for the treatment of drug abuse (64/81) or mental health centers (17/81). All interviewers received training in the administration of structured interviews. After a description of the procedure and the objectives of the study by their attending therapists, all participating subjects gave written informed consent as approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Gregorio Marañón Hospital in Madrid. Access to public health care, which is financed by taxes, is free in Spain. The rate of participation was 87.2%.

### Instruments

All information was obtained using a questionnaire that included screening questions and validated scales. Interviews lasted approximately 1 hour. Patients were specifically questioned about HIV, hepatitis infections, and suicide risk. Substances were classified as tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, opioids, cocaine, other stimulants, tranquilizers, hallucinogens, or inhalants. Current Axis I mental disorders were assigned according to the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI; Sheehan et al., 1998) for the last month. Axis II disorders were assessed through the Spanish version of the Personality Diagnostic Questionnaire-4+ (PDQ-4+; Calvo Pinero et al., 2002). In this study, DD was defined as the concurrent presence of any SUD diagnosis (excepting nicotine) and any other Axis I diagnosis according to the MINI or any Axis II diagnosis according to the PDQ-4+. Successive comorbidity was not considered. For clarity, Axis I disorders other than SUDs were grouped into three categories in agreement with the *International Classification of Diseases 10th Revision* (ICD-10; World Health Organization, 1992): (i) anxiety disorders, (ii) affective disorders, and (iii) psychotic disorders.

### Data Analysis

Comparisons were established between three groups of patients according to the current diagnosis: DD group ( $n = 440$ ), patients with SUDs but no PDs (SUD group;  $n = 141$ ), and patients with PDs but no SUDs (PD group;  $n = 208$ ). DD patients were the reference group. Patients with no current mental disorder ( $n = 48$ ) were not included. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 17.0) was used for all statistical procedures. Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) and analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests were used to compare categorical and continuous variables, respectively. The significance level was set at  $\alpha = 0.05$  (two sided). A multinomial logistic regression model was built to examine the role of demographic and clinical characteristics in discriminating the study groups. Factors included were gender, marital and working status, coexistence, educational level, hepatitis,

HIV, suicide risk, and personality clusters. Age was included as a covariate. Nonsignificant variables were removed through stepwise backward elimination.

## RESULTS

### Sample Description ( $n = 837$ )

The mean age of the participants at the time of the assessment was 38.4 years ( $SD = 10.1$ ). They were mostly assessed in addiction treatment centers (629/837; 75.1%) and there was a majority of men (73.9%). The definition of DD was met at the time of the study by 440 patients (52.6%). Lifetime DD was found in 58.5% of the sample (67.4% in addiction centers). The mean number of substances was 1.74 ( $SD = 0.84$ ) among substance users (578/837). Substances commonly used were alcohol and cocaine (23.9%), alcohol alone (18.5%), or cocaine alone (15.7%). The most frequent non-SUD Axis I diagnoses were major depression (25.0%), panic disorder (21.4%), and bipolar disorder (20.3%). Sixty-one DD patients (14.1%) and 18 non-DD patients (4.5%) had an Axis II but no Axis I diagnosis (excluding SUDs).

### DD Group Versus PD Group

DD patients were younger, more frequently males, and lived more often alone or with their family of origin than PD patients (Table 1). They presented lower rates of university studies. PD patients endorsed being married, unemployed, or disabled more often. DD patients were more frequently followed in addiction treatment centers ( $\chi^2 = 241.9$ ; degree of freedom [df] = 1;  $p < .001$ ), received psychotherapy more frequently, and were more often diagnosed with multiple Axis I disorders (different than SUDs), anxiety disorders, and Clusters A and B personality disorders (Table 2). PD patients were more likely to present noninfectious medical conditions and to receive psychopharmacological treatment. DD patients were more likely to report hepatitis (Odds ratio [OR] = 1.78) and suicide risk (OR = 2.15; Table 3).

### DD Group Versus SUD Group

Few demographic differences were found (Table 1). SUD patients were less often disabled and less likely to present noninfectious medical conditions and to be treated with psychotropic drugs. DD patients were more likely to endorse suicide risk (OR = 14.6) compared with SUD patients, but were less likely to report a history of hepatitis infection (OR = 0.6; Table 3).

## DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study was the increased suicidal risk associated with DD in contrast with other psychiatric patients, particularly compared with SUD patients with no comorbid mental disorders. The suicidal risk associated with DD had already been described (Kessler, 2004). In fact, DD patients frequently present several risk factors for suicide: male gender, mental disorder, substance abuse, or social dysfunction. However, the few studies that

TABLE 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with and without dual diagnosis (DD), substance use disorders (SUDs), and other psychiatric disorders (PDs)

	DD patients ( <i>n</i> = 440)	SUD patients ( <i>n</i> = 141)	Stats <sup>a</sup>	df	<i>p</i>	PD patients ( <i>n</i> = 208)	Stats <sup>a</sup>	df	<i>p</i>
Age (years)	36.5 ± 8.9	37.6 ± 8.7	1.53	1	.216	42.8 ± 12.2	54.4	1	.000
	<i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i> (%)				<i>n</i> (%)			
Gender <sup>b</sup>	343 (79.4)	131 (89.1)	6.97	1	.008	102 (49.3)	60.1	1	.000
Marital status <sup>b</sup>									
Single	253 (60.2)	81 (55.1)	2.22	2	.328	95 (45.9)	13.9	2	.001
Married	97 (23.1)	43 (29.3)				75 (36.2)			
Divorced/widowed	70 (16.7)	23 (15.6)				37 (17.9)			
Coexistence <sup>b</sup>									
Alone	69 (16.0)	15 (10.2)	3.43	4	.489	31 (15.0)	12.4	4	.015
Own family	122 (28.3)	47 (32.0)				83 (40.1)			
Family of origin	195 (45.2)	70 (47.6)				68 (32.9)			
Institution	12 (2.8)	5 (3.4)				9 (4.3)			
Others	33 (7.7)	10 (6.8)				16 (7.7)			
Education <sup>b</sup>									
Illiterate	6 (1.4)	0 (0)	2.14	3	.542	6 (2.9)	22.2	3	.000
Primary	199 (46.6)	71 (48.0)				73 (35.6)			
Secondary	172 (40.3)	59 (39.9)				74 (36.1)			
University	50 (11.7)	18 (12.2)				52 (25.4)			
Working status <sup>b</sup>									
Employed	186 (43.2)	80 (54.1)	9.81	3	.020	42 (20.3)	32.4	3	.000
Unemployed	152 (35.3)	52 (35.1)				111 (53.6)			
Disabled	53 (12.3)	8 (5.4)				39 (18.8)			
Others	40 (9.3)	8 (5.4)				15 (7.2)			
Suicide risk									
Present	177 (40.9)	7 (4.7)	66.6	1	.000	52 (25.1)	15.1	1	.000
Mild	110 (62.9)	6 (85.7)	2.28	2	.319	33 (64.7)	.72	2	.696
Intermediate	22 (12.6)	1 (14.3)				8 (15.7)			
Severe	43 (24.6)	0 (0)				10 (19.6)			
Medical conditions									
HIV	39 (9.0)	16 (10.8)	0.41	1	.518	14 (6.8)	0.92	1	.335
Hepatitis	91 (21.0)	40 (27.0)	2.28	1	.131	28 (13.5)	5.19	1	.023
Noninfectious diseases	70 (15.9)	12 (8.5)	4.82	1	.028	51 (24.5)	6.89	1	.009
Treatment									
Psychotropic drugs	334 (76.1)	79 (56.0)	20.93	1	.000	188 (90.4)	18.52	1	.000
Psychotherapy	385 (88.9)	127 (90.1)	0.14	1	.701	129 (63.5)	57.37	1	.000

<sup>a</sup>One-way ANOVA was used to compare age between the groups;  $\chi^2$  was used for all other comparisons.

<sup>b</sup>Information was missing for two subjects on gender, for 14 subjects on marital status, for three subjects on coexistence, for eight subjects on education, for two subjects on working status, and for three subjects on medical history.

TABLE 2. Current differences in psychopathology between dual-diagnosed patients (DD) and patients with other psychiatric disorders (PD)

Diagnosis	DD patients, <i>n</i> (%)	PD patients, <i>n</i> (%)	$\chi^2$	df	<i>p</i>
Axis I					
Single Axis I diagnosis <sup>a</sup>	150 (40.3)	110 (58.2)	16.1	1	.000
Multiple Axis I diagnoses <sup>a</sup>	222 (59.7)	79 (41.8)			
Any affective disorder	273 (63.0)	127 (61.4)	0.17	1	.678
Any anxiety disorder	250 (57.7)	99 (47.8)	5.54	1	.019
Any psychotic disorder	47 (10.9)	29 (14.0)	1.33	1	.248
Axis II					
Single Axis II diagnosis	85 (29.4)	39 (31.5)	0.17	1	.678
Multiple Axis II diagnoses	204 (70.6)	85 (68.5)			
Any personality disorder	289 (66.7)	124 (59.9)	2.86	1	.091
Any Cluster A PD	142 (32.8)	49 (23.7)	5.56	1	.018
Any Cluster B PD	176 (40.6)	58 (28.0)	9.63	1	.002
Any Cluster C PD	176 (40.6)	86 (41.5)	0.04	1	.829

<sup>a</sup>Excluding SUDs (substance use disorders).

TABLE 3. Odds ratios for the group of dual-diagnosed patients (DD) when compared with the SUD (substance use disorders) and PD (other psychiatric disorders) groups

Group	Odds ratio	95% CI <sup>a</sup>	<i>p</i>
DD vs. PD			
Age	1.06	1.04–1.08	.000
Gender	0.28	0.19–0.41	.000
Hepatitis	1.78	1.08–2.91	.022
Suicide risk	2.15	1.44–3.21	.000
DD vs. SUD			
Age	1.01	0.99–1.03	.294
Gender	1.98	1.10–3.59	.023
Hepatitis	0.60	0.37–0.98	.040
Suicide risk	14.64	6.65–32.22	.000

<sup>a</sup>CI: confidence interval.

have compared the suicidal risk of DD patients with other groups of patients were focused on specific populations or disorders (Cornelius et al., 1995; Lukasiewicz et al., 2009). The increase in suicidal risk among DD patients is especially important when their age is considered. Therefore, preventive measures likely to reduce suicide among young people should be considered for DD patients (Hunt et al., 2006). Interestingly, in our sample, two clusters of personality disorders (A and B) were associated with DD patients. It seems reasonable to hypothesize that specific personality traits could be related to the increased suicidal risk in this population, although further research is needed.

The prevalence of DD in our sample (52.6%) was in the high range of previous epidemiological surveys across different treatment settings in Spain (27%–49%; Gual, 2007) and other countries in Europe or North America (2%–83%; Kessler, 2004). This finding might be explained by the use of structured instruments and the inclusion of Axis II diagnoses in the definition of DD. Excluding Axis II diagnoses would decrease DD prevalence in our study to 44.4%. DD patients are at a higher risk of worse outcomes (Weaver et al., 2003), and there might be a lack of awareness of their treatment needs (Baldacchino, 2007; Grella & Hser, 1997; Ouimette et al., 2007). In our sample, although they endorsed suicide risk and history of hepatitis infection more often than the other groups, noninfectious medical conditions were more common among patients without comorbid substance use, maybe due to their older age. Of note, patients manifesting SUDs (DD and SUD groups) were more likely to receive psychotherapy but less likely to receive psychopharmacological treatment than patients diagnosed as manifesting other PDs (PD group). These findings go in line with the evidence demonstrating that forms of psychotherapy, such as cognitive behavioral therapy or psychoeducation, are efficacious in improving outcomes in DD patients (Hides, Samet, & Lubman, 2010; Pawsey & Castle, 2006).

We used a descriptive approach and an inclusive definition of DD. However, this definition has not been consensualized and therefore comparability with other studies is limited. The major strength of this paper is the use

of structured instruments of assessment. Contrary to previous studies that mostly used self-report measures and a longer interval to evaluate substance use or substance abuse as a diagnosis (6–12 months), our study is based on current diagnoses (last month) given by the professionals expected to have a better knowledge of the patients. It has also been made in a variety of public health services to avoid biases conveyed by the clinical setting. We expect therefore that it may reflect concurrent comorbidity in real practice conditions.

This study describes the differential features between DD patients and other psychiatric patients, which may imply also diverse healthcare needs. Suicidal risk is one of such features. Further research is needed to define suicide preventive strategies for DD patients.

### Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the article.

### RESUME

#### Pathologie duale et risque de suicide parmi des patients espagnols en consultation

Cette étude compare des patients présentant une pathologie duale avec d'autres groupes de patients psychiatriques en vue de d'étudier le risque suicidaire et les autres variables cliniques propres à chaque groupe. 837 patients vus en consultation ont été évalués par les services de santé mentale et addictions de Madrid pendant l'année 2008. Trois groupes de comparaison ont été créés selon le diagnostique actuel : patients avec pathologie duale, patients avec abus de substances sans d'autres troubles mentaux, patients avec troubles mentaux sans abus de substances. Un modèle de régression multinomial a été construit pour explorer le risque associé au diagnostique de pathologie duale. 440 patients présentaient au moment de l'étude les critères de diagnostique de pathologie duale (52,6%). Par rapport aux autres groupes, Les patients avec pathologie duale présentaient de nombreuses différences démographiques et cliniques, et particulièrement un risque augmenté de suicide. De nouvelles études sont nécessaires pour établir des stratégies de prévention du suicide parmi ces patients.

### RESUMEN

#### Patología dual y riesgo de suicidio en una muestra española de pacientes ambulatorios

Este estudio compara pacientes con patología dual y otros grupos de pacientes psiquiátricos en relación al riesgo suicida y otras variables clínicas. 837 pacientes en tratamiento ambulatorio fueron evaluados en los servicios de salud mental y de atención a adicciones de Madrid durante el año 2008. Se crearon tres grupos de comparación de acuerdo al diagnóstico actual: pacientes duales, pacientes con abuso de sustancias pero sin otros

trastornos mentales, y pacientes con trastornos mentales pero sin abuso de sustancias. Se construyó un modelo de regresión logística multinomial para explorar el riesgo asociado con el diagnóstico de patología dual. 440 pacientes cumplían en el momento del estudio los criterios para el diagnóstico de patología dual (52,6%). Los pacientes duales mostraron diversas diferencias en factores demográficos y clínicos, y un mayor riesgo de suicidio que los grupos de comparación. Es necesario continuar investigando para definir posibles estrategias de prevención del suicidio en estos pacientes.

## THE AUTHORS



**Dr Nestor Szerman, M.D.**, is Consultant Psychiatrist and Coordinator of the Teaching Commission of the Psychiatry Department at the Gregorio Marañón Hospital in Madrid, Spain; Adviser Psychiatrist in alcoholism, dual pathology, and borderline personality disorders for the Madrid Public Mental Health Administration; and Associated Professor of the drug-dependence master's

program at the Complutense University of Madrid. He is the President of the Spanish Association of Dual Pathology (SEPD). Dr. Szerman has published a number of papers in Spanish and international journals, as well as numerous book chapters.



**Dr Jorge Lopez-Castroman, M.D., Ph.D.**, is Assistant Psychiatrist at the Fundacion Jimenez Diaz Hospital, Madrid, and postdoctoral investigator at the INSERM (Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale), Montpellier, France. He has authored or coauthored more than 20 peer-reviewed papers in international journals. His work has been mainly

focused on the evolution of mental disorders, suicide, substance use disorders, and bipolar disorder. He obtained the European doctoral degree by the Autonoma University of Madrid and has received several awards and research grants.



**Dr Francisco Arias, M.D., Ph.D.**, graduated from the School of Medicine of the Granada University, Granada, Spain, in 1989. He completed his residency training in Psychiatry at the Ramon y Cajal Hospital, Madrid, in 1993 and obtained his doctoral degree from the Alcala University, *Alcalá* de Henares, Spain, in 1995. He has been committed in several positions and is currently

posted as Assistant Psychiatrist in the Alcorcon Hospital, Madrid. He is a member of the SEPD and Socidrogalcohol. His special focuses of research are addictions, dual pathology, and the cannabinoid system.



**Dr Consuelo Morant, M.D.**, obtained her medical degree from the University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain, and her specialization in Preventive Medicine and Public Health from Regional Public Health School of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain. She also completed a master's degree in Psychiatry from the Rovira i Virgili University in Tarragona, Spain. She has been appointed in the

Regional Office of Mental Health of the Community of Madrid. She has authored and coauthored several epidemiological studies in the field of noncommunicable diseases and disease burden, which have been published in peer-reviewed journals. She is also Associate Professor of the International Public Health master's program at the National School of Health in Madrid.



**Dr Francisco Babín, M.D.**, graduated in medicine from the Complutense University of Madrid. He is a specialist in health management at the University Centre of Public Health (CUSP), Community of Madrid, and the IESE Business School–University of Navarra, and is Director of the Addictions Institute, Madrid, since 2004. He is also General Director of Public Health of the

Community of Madrid (1999–2004); Deputy General Director of Epidemiology and Health Information of the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid (Ministry of Health 1996–1999); Associated Professor of the Complutense University, University Juan Carlos I, and the National School of Health in Madrid; and member of Honor of the SEPD. His focus of research is in epidemiology, communicable diseases, diseases preventable by vaccination, and addictions, including dual pathology. He evaluates research projects for the National Plan on Drugs of Spain. He has written and coordinated several scientific books on these subjects.



**Dr Beatriz Mesías, M.D.**, graduated in medicine from the Autonomous University of Madrid (Universidad Autonoma de Madrid), with expert specialization in alcoholism. She works at the Madrid Health Addictions Institute as Chief of Service of Assistance and coordinates the centers of care for drug addiction in Madrid. She is Associated Professor of the drug-dependence master's

program at the Complutense University of Madrid since 1999 and member of the directive Board of the SEPD. She has participated as speaker in more than 20 national and international congresses. She has authored several publications in Spanish and international journals.



**Dr Ignacio Basurte, M.D., Ph.D.**, graduated in medicine and obtained his doctoral degree from the Complutense University of Madrid. He is Assistant Psychiatrist in the Gregorio Marañón Hospital. He has authored several book chapters and a number of articles in international journals on dual diagnosis. He has participated as speaker in numerous national and international congresses. He

is member and secretary of the SEPD since its foundation.



**Dr Pablo Vega, M.D.**, graduated in medicine from the Complutense University of Madrid. He has received specific training in Alcoholology by the Autonomous University of Madrid. Currently, he works as Associated Professor of the drug-dependence master's program at the Complutense University of Madrid and physician in the Madrid Health Addictions Institute. He is

the Vice-President of the SEPD. He has authored several book chapters and a number of articles in international journals, mostly focused on addiction and dual diagnosis. He has participated as speaker in national and international congresses.



**Dr Enrique Baca-García, M.D., Ph.D.**, is the chair of the Department of Psychiatry at the Fundación Jimenez Diaz Hospital in Madrid. He is adjunct Associate Professor of the Psychiatry Department of Columbia University (New York) and Associate Professor of Psychiatry Department in the Autonomous University of Madrid. He has focused on

mentoring young researchers and clinicians. He has directed 23 Ph.D. dissertations and mentored 30 Ph.D.-level graduates. He has focused on clinical research, including studies on genetics and epidemiology of psychiatric disorders. He has published more than 120 articles in the main peer-reviewed psychiatry journals.

## GLOSSARY

**Dual diagnosis:** This term describes the comorbid combination of a mental illness and substance use disorder, also known as concurrent disorders.

**Multinomial logistic regression:** This statistical model is a generalization of logistic regression applied when the categorical-dependent outcome has more than two levels. It is used to classify elements (subjects) according to the values of a set of predictor variables.

**Substance use disorder:** A disorder characterized by a pattern of continued pathological use of drugs; it comprises the terms of abuse and dependence.

**Suicide risk:** The probability that someone will initiate any action with intention of ending one's life.

## REFERENCES

- Appleby, L., Shaw, J., Amos, T., McDonnell, R., Harris, C., McCann, K., et al. (1999). Suicide within 12 months of contact with mental health services: National clinical survey. *British Medical Journal*, *318*(7193), 1235–1239.
- Baldacchino, A. (2007). Co-morbid substance misuse and mental health problems: Policy and practice in Scotland. *American Journal on Addictions*, *16*(3), 147–159.
- Calvo Pinero, N., Caseras Vives, X., Gutierrez Ponce De Leon, F., & Torrubia Beltri, R. (2002). Spanish version of the personality diagnostic questionnaire-4+ (PDQ-4+). *Actas Españolas de Psiquiatría*, *30*(1), 7–13.
- Compton, W. M., Thomas, Y. F., Conway, K. P., & Colliver, J. D. (2005). Developments in the epidemiology of drug use and drug use disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *162*(8), 1494–1502.
- Compton, W. M., Thomas, Y. F., Stinson, F. S., & Grant, B. F. (2007). Prevalence, correlates, disability, and comorbidity of DSM-IV drug abuse and dependence in the United States: Results from the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *64*(5), 566–576.
- Cornelius, J. R., Salloum, I. M., Mezzich, J., Cornelius, M. D., Fabrega, H., Jr., Ehler, J. G., et al. (1995). Disproportionate suicidality in patients with comorbid major depression and alcoholism. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *152*(3), 358–364.
- Frisher, M., Crome, I., Macleod, J., Millson, D., & Croft, P. (2005). Substance misuse and psychiatric illness: Prospective observational study using the general practice research database. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, *59*(10), 847–850.
- Glantz, M. D., Anthony, J. C., Berglund, P. A., Degenhardt, L., Dierker, L., Kalaydjian, A., et al. (2009). Mental disorders as risk factors for later substance dependence: Estimates of optimal prevention and treatment benefits. *Psychological Medicine*, *39*(8), 1365–1377.
- Grant, B. F. (1995). Comorbidity between DSM-IV drug use disorders and major depression: Results of a national survey of adults. *Journal of Substance Abuse*, *7*(4), 481–497.
- Grella, C. E., & Hser, Y. I. (1997). A county survey of mental health services in drug treatment programs. *Psychiatric Services*, *48*(7), 950–952.
- Gual, A. (2007). Dual diagnosis in Spain. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, *26*(1), 65–71.
- Hides, L., Samet, S., & Lubman, D. I. (2010). Cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT) for the treatment of co-occurring depression and substance use: Current evidence and directions for future research. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, *29*(5), 508–517.
- Hunt, I. M., Kapur, N., Robinson, J., Shaw, J., Flynn, S., Bailey, H., et al. (2006). Suicide within 12 months of mental healthservice contact in different age and diagnostic groups: National clinical survey. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *188*, 135–142.

- Kessler, R. C. (2004). The epidemiology of dual diagnosis. *Biological Psychiatry*, 56(10), 730–737.
- Kessler, R. C., McGonagle, K. A., Zhao, S., Nelson, C. B., Hughes, M., Eshleman, S., et al. (1994). Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in the United States. Results from the National Comorbidity Survey. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 51(1), 8–19.
- Kessler, R. C., Nelson, C. B., McGonagle, K. A., Edlund, M. J., Frank, R. G., & Leaf, P. J. (1996). The epidemiology of co-occurring addictive and mental disorders: Implications for prevention and service utilization. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 66(1), 17–31.
- Lukasiewicz, M., Blecha, L., Falissard, B., Neveu, X., Benyamina, A., Reynaud, M., et al. (2009). Dual diagnosis: Prevalence, risk factors, and relationship with suicide risk in a nationwide sample of French prisoners. *Alcoholism, Clinical and Experimental Research*, 33, 160–168.
- Merikangas, K. R., Mehta, R. L., Molnar, B. E., Walters, E. E., Swendsen, J. D., Aguilar-Gaziola, S., et al. (1998). Comorbidity of substance use disorders with mood and anxiety disorders: Results of the International Consortium in Psychiatric Epidemiology. *Addictive Behaviors*, 23(6), 893–907.
- Ouimette, P., Jemelka, R., Hall, J., Brimmer, K., Krupski, A., & Stark, K. (2007). Services to patients with dual diagnoses: Findings from Washington's mental health service system. *Substance Use & Misuse*, 42(1), 113–127.
- Pawsey, B., & Castle, D. (2006). Substance use and psychosis. *Australian Family Physician*, 35(3), 110–112.
- Regier, D. A., Farmer, M. E., & Rae, D. S. (1990). Comorbidity of mental disorders with alcohol and other drug abuse: Result from the Epidemiologic Catchment Area (ECA) Study. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 264, 2511–2518.
- Sheehan, D. V., Lecrubier, Y., Sheehan, K. H., Amorim, P., Janavs, J., Weiller, E., et al. (1998). The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): The development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 59(Suppl 20), 22–33.
- Weaver, T., Madden, P., Charles, V., Stimson, G., Renton, A., Tyrer, P., et al. (2003). Comorbidity of substance misuse and mental illness in community mental health and substance misuse services. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 183, 304–313.
- World Health Organization. (1992). *International classification of diseases (ICD)*. Retrieved August 23, 2011, from <http://www.who.int/classifications/icd/en/>.